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Slow but tenacious: an analysis of running and gripping performance in chameleons
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SUMMARY

Chameleons are highly specialized and mostly arboreal lizards characterized by a suite of derived characters. The grasping feet and tail are thought to be related to the arboreal lifestyle of chameleons, yet specializations for grasping are thought to exhibit a trade-off with running ability. Indeed, previous studies have demonstrated a trade-off between running and clinging performance, with faster species being poorer clingers. Here we investigate the presence of trade-offs by measuring running and grasping performance in four species of chameleon belonging to two different clades (Chamaeleo and Bradypodion). Within each clade we selected a largely terrestrial species and a more arboreal species to test whether morphology and performance are related to habitat use. Our results show that habitat drives the evolution of morphology and performance but that some of these effects are specific to each clade. Terrestrial species in both clades show poorer grasping performance than more arboreal species and have smaller hands. Moreover, hand size best predicts gripping performance, suggesting that habitat use drives the evolution of hand morphology through its effects on performance. Arboreal species also had longer tails and better tail gripping performance. No differences in sprint speed were observed between the two Chamaeleo species. Within Bradypodion, differences in sprint speed were significant after correcting for body size, yet the arboreal species were both better sprinters and had greater clinging strength. These results suggest that previously documented trade-offs may have been caused by differences between clades (i.e. a phyllogenetic effect) rather than by design conflicts between running and gripping per se.
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INTRODUCTION

Chameleons are highly specialized and mostly arboreal lizards that are characterized by a suite of derived characters including a ballistic tongue, independently moveable eyes, and prehensile feet and tail (Gans, 1967). The specialized grasping feet (Renou-Lécuru, 1973) and tail (Ali, 1948; Zippel et al., 1999; Bergmann et al., 2003; but see Boistel et al., 2010) are thought to be related to the arboreal lifestyle of chameleons. Indeed, the bones in both the hands and feet are rearranged during development (Hurle et al., 1987; Rieppel, 1993) to form a grasping appendage allowing chameleons to hold on to narrow substrates (Peterson, 1984; Higham and Jayne, 2004; Fischer et al., 2010). Similarly, the tail has been modified to enhance ventral flexion and its musculature shows a unique arrangement among lizards (Ali, 1948; Zippel et al., 1999; Bergmann et al., 2003). Moreover, arboreal species have been shown to possess longer tails than terrestrial ones on average (Bickel and Losos, 2002).

The specializations for grasping characteristics for chameleons are, however, thought to exhibit a trade-off with running ability. Performance trade-offs occur when different and conflicting functional demands are imposed on the same phenotypic trait (Arnold, 1992; Vanhooydonck et al., 2001; Levinton and Allen, 2005; Konuma and Chiba, 2007; Herrel et al., 2009). For example, in lizards, selection on burst locomotion capacity has been shown to occur at the expense of endurance capacity (Vanhooydonck et al., 2001), as the demands on the locomotor muscles are conflicting in the expression of either fast- or slow-muscle fibre types (Bonine et al., 2005). Previous studies on chameleon locomotion have demonstrated a trade-off between running and clinging performance, with faster species being poorer clingers (Losos et al., 1993). This trade-off was suggested to reside in differences in design requirements for sprinting versus clinging. Specifically, it was proposed that differences in the insertion of the limb flexors between species may give an advantage in generating torque at the expense of producing more rapid movements in the arboreal species (Losos et al., 1993). Moreover, it was suggested that the arboreal species possessed a greater proportion of slow, yet strong, tonic fibers in its limb muscles (Abu-Ghalyun et al., 1988; Mutungi, 1992). From these data, Losos et al. (Losos et al., 1993) concluded that the trade-offs between sprinting and clinging observed in
chameleons are due to their arboreal specialization and may have constrained the direction of their further diversification.

However, the species that were compared in the study by Losos et al. (Losos et al., 1993) belong to two rather divergent clades, *Trioceros* and *Chamaeleo* (Tilbury and Tolley, 2009; Townsend et al., 2011) (Fig. 1). Consequently, the observed trade-off may have been confounded by phylogeny and reflect clade-specific adaptations rather than being a general trade-off typical of chameleons. Here, we explore this question further by measuring running and grasping performance for four species of chameleon belonging to two different clades (*Chamaeleo* and *Bradypodion*). Within each clade we selected a closely related terrestrial *Chamaeleo namaquensis* (Smith 1831 and *Bradypodion occidentale* (Hewitt 1935)) and a more arboreal species (*Chamaeleo dilepis* Leach 1819 and *Bradypodion damaranum* Boulenger 1887) to test (1) whether morphology and performance are related to habitat use and (2) whether the observed trade-off between running and clinging is generally present or is the result of clade-specific adaptations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

*Bradypodion occidentale* specimens (*N*=21) were caught by hand during night-time surveys at the Tygerberg reserve and along the west coast of South Africa during November 2008 and January 2012. *Bradypodion damaranum* (*N*=31) were caught during night-time surveys in Knysna and Outeniqua, South Africa in February 2010. Both species are closely related, yet occur in radically different habitats (Tolley and Burger, 2007; Tolley et al., 2006; Tolley et al., 2008). *Chamaeleo dilepis* (*N*=7) were caught during night-time surveys at various locations throughout South Africa including KwaZulu-Natal and Limpopo Provinces in 2010 and 2011. *Chamaeleo namaquensis* (*N*=11) were caught during daytime surveys in the Swakopmund area, Namibia, in April 2012. These species also occupy radically divergent habitats. The desert habitat of *C. namaquensis* is characterized by the absence of trees (Burrage, 1973). In contrast, although *C. dilepis* has been classified as being ‘terrestrial’ in some previous studies (Losos et al., 1993; Bickel and Losos, 2002), this species always roosts in trees and only moves over ground to lay eggs or to move between trees in its savannah habitat (A.H. and K.A.T., personal observation), and its primary habitat is arboreal. In contrast, *C. namaquensis* never uses trees and often can be observed to roost on the sand (Burrage, 1973; A.H. and K.A.T., personal observation). In all cases, animals were brought back to the field station, measured and tested for gripping performance and sprint speed, and released at the exact site of capture. All performance measures were performed at 25±3°C. As preferred temperatures for chameleons are 25.0°C for *Bradypodion* and 29.3°C for *C. namaquensis* (Andrews, 2008; see Burrage, 1973) these species are performing near to their preferred temperatures.

**Morphometrics**

For each individual, we measured the following traits using digital calipers (Mitutoyo, Kanagawa, Japan): snout–vent length (SVL); femur, tibia and lateral hindfoot length; and humerus, radius and lateral forefoot length (Hopkins and Tolley, 2011; Herrel et al., 2011). In addition, we measured the mass of each animal using a digital balance (Ohaus PS121, Nanikon, Switzerland).

**Morphology**

We used a Viscom X8050-16 microtomograph at the Centre of Microtomography of the University of Poitiers (France) to scan a single specimen each of *C. dilepis* (MNHN 2005.3341), a *B. occidentale* (MNHN 2000.2530) and a *B. damaranum* (Bayworld R8671). The X-ray source used consisted of a microfocus Viscom 150kV open tube, used between 86 and 100kV and 0.270 and 0.760mA. We used a detector composed of an image intensifier with a 1004×1004 pixel camera with a pixel size of 147 μm. The geometry was set to get a 24.5–50 μm voxel size in the reconstructed 3-D images. The reconstruction was performed using the software ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) and FDK algorithms of DigiCT v.2.4.3 (Digisens, Ferney-Voltaire, France; with plugin: SnapCT, acceleration in GPU). The data sets consist of 1200–3600 projections taken over 360deg, and 20 integrations by projection. We also used the ID19 long imaging beam line of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France) with large spatial coherence (Boistel et al., 2011). We used a monochromatic beam with an energy bandwidth (∆E/E) of 10E–4 obtained with a double Si111 Bragg monochromator. We used a detector composed an optical system coupled to a cooled charge-coupled FReLoN camera (Labiche et al., 2007). We acquired tomographic data from hand of adult *C. namaquensis* (MNHN 282) scanned at 20keV with a propagation distance of 300mm. The effective pixel size at the converter screen position was 7.45 μm. The data set for a complete sample consists of several scans of 1500 projections taken over 180deg with vertical displacements between each scan, with a small overlap for scan alignment. The reconstruction was performed using the filtered back-projection algorithm (PyHST software, European Synchrotron Research Facility, Grenoble, France). Three-dimensional images were produced in 16 bits and subsequently converted into 8 bit voxels for visualization. Three-dimensional processing and rendering was obtained after semi-automatic segmentation of the skeleton (Boistel et al., 2011) using ‘generate surface’ and volume rendering in AVIZO 7.01 (VSG, SAS, Mergnac, France).

**Grip strength**

One of two dowels (broad, 10 mm; narrow, 5 mm) was mounted on a piezo-electric force platform (Kistler Squirrel force plate, ±0.1 N,
Winterthur, Switzerland) (see Herrel et al., 2012). The force platform was positioned on a custom-designed metal base and connected to a charge amplifier (Kistler Charge Amplifier type 9865). Forces were recorded during a 60 s recording session at 1000 Hz. During this interval, chameleons were allowed to repeatedly grip a dowel with their tail or hands, and were then pulled away from the dowel. A recording session typically included three to four grip trials. To quantify tail strength, animals were pulled from the dowel in the vertical direction, and we extracted peak Z forces using Bioware software (Kistler). It should be noted that animals wrapped their tails around the dowel voluntarily, and thus the number of coils engaged varied across trials and individuals, and was not quantified. Thus, we recorded voluntary maximal tail strength. To quantify hand strength (i.e. forelimb only), we let the chameleon hold on to the dowel and pulled it away in the horizontal (Y) direction, and extracted peak Y forces using Bioware software. Foot grip strength (hind limb) was not measured as the morphology of the animals does not allow a straightforward measurement without hurting the animals. Each chameleon was tested three times (i.e. three separate recording sessions) on each dowel with at least 30 min of rest between trials and at least 1 h of rest between recording sessions with dowels of different sizes. The highest tail and hand grip force for each individual on each dowel was retained for subsequent analysis. Note that not all animals wanted to grip on the broad dowel and consequently sample sizes vary for the different dowel sizes.

Sprint speed
Animals were tested in one of two ways. Chameleons caught in 2008 were tested on a 2-m-long flat race track equipped with infrared photocells set 25 cm apart. Chameleons were chased down the track and the times were recorded automatically and sent to a laptop computer (Herrel et al., 2011). Chameleons caught between 2010 and 2012 were tested by chasing them down a 2-m-long track marked at 25 cm intervals. Animals were timed manually using a stopwatch, and the times at which animals crossed the 25-cm markers were recorded. The substrate consisted of wood covered with cork or hard foam, allowing the animals adequate grip for running. Irrespective of the method used, we calculated the speed over the fastest 50 cm interval and retained it for further analysis. Animals were tested on a flat track rather than on perches as selection on sprint speed probably only occurs when animals are crossing the ground between bushes or trees. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) testing for differences between the two methods using B. occidentale specimens showed no differences between speeds measured on the race track versus those timed by hand ($F_{1,18}=0.012$, $P=0.42$).

Analyses
All data were log$_{10}$ transformed before analysis to fulfil assumptions of normality and homoscedascity. We tested for differences between clades and habitat groups (terrestrial versus arboreal) in morphology and performance using multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVA). Next, we ran ANCOVAs to test for differences in morphology and performance with SVL as a covariate. Tests of heterogeneity of slopes were non-significant for all morphological traits in Bradypodion. For Chamaeleo, heterogeneity of slopes was detected for tail length ($F_{1,13}=4.70$, $P=0.049$), femur length ($F_{1,14}=7.33$, $P=0.017$) and radius length ($F_{1,14}=7.17$, $P=0.018$). In Bradypodion, slopes were heterogeneous for sprint speed ($F_{1,48}=4.99$, $P=0.03$) and the grip strength on broad ($F_{1,34}=6.27$, $P=0.017$) and narrow ($F_{1,48}=25.88$, $P<0.01$) dowels. In Chamaeleo, slopes were heterogeneous for grip strength on the broad dowel only ($F_{1,14}=4.93$, $P=0.043$). For these traits residuals were calculated and compared using ANOVAs. Thirdly, we ran multiple regression models to explore which morphological variables best explained the variation in performance within each clade. For sprint speed we used all morphological variables as potential predictors. For hand grip strength we used SVL, mass, humerus length, radius length and hand length as potential predictors. Finally, for tail grip strength we used tail length, SVL and body mass as potential predictors. All analyses were performed using SPSS V. 15.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS
Anatomy
In the species studied here, the proximal hand involves the fusion of the carpal elements of the second row in all species (Fig. 2). As such, these elements form a true ball and socket joint with the carpal elements of the first row (see Renous-Lécu, 1973). Noticeable on
differences in morphology between animals living in different habitats (terrestrial versus arboreal) are clade dependent (Figs 3, 4). A MANOVA run using the performance data similarly detected significant clade (Wilks’ lambda=0.15, F_{5,46}=53.43, P<0.001), habitat (Wilks’ lambda=0.48, F_{5,46}=10.13, P<0.001) and interaction (Wilks’ lambda=0.61, F_{5,46}=5.78, P<0.001) effects, indicating that differences in performance between terrestrial and arboreal chameleons are clade dependent.

Chameleons of the genus \textit{Bradypodion} living in different habitats differed significantly in morphology (Wilks’ lambda=0.06, F_{9,39}=65.16, P<0.001). Univariate F-tests indicated that differences were significant for all traits except hand and foot length (hand: F_{1,47}=0.01, P=0.93; foot: F_{1,47}=0.02, P=0.88). When taking into account differences in SVL (ANCOVA), however, differences in morphology were significant for all traits except femur (F_{1,46}=0.81, P=0.37), tibia (F_{1,46}=1.05, P=0.31) and humerus (F_{1,46}=1.50, P=0.23) length. Overall differences in performance were significant (Wilks’ lambda=0.49; F_{5,31}=6.48, P<0.001). Yet univariate F-tests showed differences in grip strength on the broad dowel only (hand: F_{1,35}=13.41, P<0.001; tail: F_{1,35}=5.94, P=0.02). However, when taking into account differences in body size (SVL using ANCOVA or ANOVA on residuals), performance differences were significant for all traits except tail grip strength on the narrow dowel (F_{1,34}=4.04, P=0.052). However, arboreal species were both faster and stronger than terrestrial ones. Stepwise regressions retained a significant model for sprint speed (R^{2}=0.63, P<0.001) with hand length (β=0.57) and body mass (β=0.28) as significant variables. Thus, animals with bigger hands and greater body mass run faster. Variation in hand grip strength on a broad dowel was best explained by hand length (β=0.80) and body mass (β=0.52; R^{2}=0.36, P<0.001), indicating that animals with larger hands, yet lower body mass, had greater grip strength. In contrast, variation in grip strength on a narrow dowel was best explained by SVL only (R^{2}=0.63, P<0.001), with longer animals having greater grip strength. Variation in tail grip strength on a broad dowel was explained by tail length only (R^{2}=0.44, P<0.001), with longer tails being correlated with greater tail grip strength. However, on a narrow dowel tail grip strength was best explained by variation in overall body mass (R^{2}=0.40, P<0.001), with heavier animals having greater tail grip strength.

Chameleons of the genus \textit{Chamaeleo} living in different habitats were also different in morphology (Wilks’ lambda=0.07, F_{9,7}=9.84, P=0.003). Univariate F-tests indicated that differences in morphology were significant only for tail length (F_{1,15}=6.23, P=0.025), hand length (F_{1,15}=5.20, P=0.038) and foot length (F_{1,15}=5.11, P=0.039). When taking into account differences in SVL, differences in body mass (ANOVA, \textit{F}_{1,14}=22.00, P<0.001), tail length (ANOVA on residuals, \textit{F}_{1,15}=23.45, P<0.001), humerus length (ANOVA \textit{F}_{1,14}=5.66, P=0.032), hand length (ANOVA, \textit{F}_{1,14}=12.05, P=0.004) and foot length (ANOVA, \textit{F}_{1,14}=18.74, P<0.001) were significant. Differences in performance were also significantly different between arboreal and terrestrial chameleons (Wilks’ lambda=0.36, F_{9,11}=3.92, P=0.028). Univariate F-tests indicated significant differences in all traits except sprint speed (F_{1,15}=0.06, P=0.81). When taking into account body size, differences in performance remained, with the exception of sprint speed, which did not differ between species (F_{1,14}=0.06, P=0.81). Stepwise multiple regressions between sprint speed and morphology retained no significant model, indicating that variation in sprint speed was poorly explained by variation in morphology across these two species. Variation in hand grip strength was explained by hand length only (broad dowel: R^{2}=0.81, P<0.001; narrow dowel: R^{2}=0.74,
Gripping and running in chameleons

Our results show that habitat structure drives the evolution of morphology and performance in chameleons. Terrestrial species in both clades show poorer grasping performance compared with more arboreal species, and have smaller hands and feet (Figs 3, 4). Moreover, hand size best predicts gripping performance. Given that selection acts at the level of the performance of the whole organism (Arnold, 1983), this suggests that the structural habitat drives the evolution of hand morphology through its effects on grasping performance. These results mimic results obtained for different morphs of the Cape dwarf chameleon (Bradypodion pumilum), where individuals inhabiting forest characterized by wider perches have bigger hands and better grasping performance (Hopkins and Tolley, 2011; Herrel et al., 2011). The reasons why larger hands and feet are beneficial to arboreal chameleons have not been explored explicitly, but it seems intuitively obvious that having larger hands and feet would allow chameleons to close their hands or feet around wider perches, thus allowing them to generate a torque preventing them from toppling sideways (Losos et al., 1993; Herrel et al., 2012). All chameleons, even the most terrestrial species, have prehensile hands and feet, the structure of which is highly derived compared with other lizards. The proximal hand, for example, involves the fusion of the carpal elements of the second row to form a true ball and socket joint with the carpal elements of the first row (Renous-Lécuru, 1973). Whereas the fusion of the carpal elements observed in the arboreal species included in our study (Fig. 2) may provide greater structural integrity of the base of the hand associated with the relatively larger grasp forces, the independence of the carpals in the terrestrial species may, in contrast, allow the hand to flatten more, and consequently provide a better grip on a flat substrate. Why terrestrial species generally have shorter phalanges and hands (Fig. 2), or why having longer hands and feet does not provide a benefit to the more terrestrial species, remains unclear. It is possible that the larger hands of the arboreal species prevent the closure around the very fine and narrow substrates occasionally used by the more terrestrial species for roosting (see Herrel et al., 2011). Alternatively, the longer phalanges may prevent effective locomotion on a flat substrate. Yet the functional advantages or handicaps associated with these divergent morphologies remain to be tested experimentally. Moreover, a larger number of species needs to be examined before these observations can be generalized.

Our data also show that arboreal species also have longer tails and better tail gripping performance (Figs 3, 4). Again this is in accordance to what was observed for different populations of the Cape dwarf chameleon (Herrel et al., 2011). The reasons why longer tails appear to provide chameleons with a performance benefit again remains uncertain, but minimally longer tails allow animals to coil their tails around wider perches. Moreover, this may allow chameleons to have more coils around a substrate of a given diameter, allowing for an increased contact area, which positively affects frictional and adhesive forces. In addition, the longer tails of arboreal chameleons are characterized by smaller distal vertebrae in the prehensile part that grow with negative allometry (Bergmann et al., 2003). As vertebræ are smaller, this may permit the generation of tighter coils around the substrate and thus better gripping. Finally, at least some terrestrial chameleons use their short tails as a walking stick to improve balance while walking on wide substrates (Boistel et al., 2010).

Our data also show that some of effects observed are specific to each clade (Chamaeleo versus Bradypodion). For example, no differences in sprint speed were observed between the two Chamaeleo species, suggesting that at least in the Chamaeleo clade no trade-off exists between running and gripping. However, it must be noted that C. dilepis is by some considered to be among the more terrestrial species within the clade, as it is often seen crossing roads. As such, this may bias our analyses and other species need to be tested. One other caveat that needs to be mentioned is that all species were tested for sprint speed at similar temperatures. If optimal
performance temperatures are different for different species, then this could introduce a bias in the results. Although preferred temperatures for chameleons are generally low (Andrews, 2008) and optimal performance temperatures are thus likely not too different from the temperature at which animals were run, no data yet exist on the thermal dependence of performance of sprinting in chameleons. Within Bradypodion, however, differences in sprint speed were significant after correcting for body size. Yet, arboreal species were faster than terrestrial ones, consistent with what was observed for B. pumilum (Herrel et al., 2012). This can be explained by the fact that hand length positively affected both sprint speed and gripping strength in Bradypodion species. In the Chamaeleo species, however, sprint speed was not dependent on specific morphological traits and did not differ between species. Moreover, limb long-bones, which typically determine sprint speed in lizards (Vanhooydonck and Van Damme, 2001), were not different between terrestrial and arboreal Chamaeleo species. These results demonstrate that trade-offs between sprinting and clinging do not exist in Chamaeleo (no relationship) or in Bradypodion (positive relationship). Consequently, previously demonstrated trade-offs between running and clinging (Losos et al., 1993) likely reflect differences in performance in different functional tasks between clades of chameleons rather than being the result of an intrinsic design conflict between gripping and running. Future analyses examining these relationships across a much broader range of chameleons are needed to fully understand the evolution of running and gripping performance and the associated morphology in chameleons.
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